SECRET

9-1806

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT:

Inspector General's Suggestion for

Improvement of the Central Intelligence

Agency

REFERENCE:

My memorandum of 30 August 1957,

same subject

Attached is our comment on the Inspector General's recommendation on DD/P organization. I request that the Director approve our proposal.

(Signed) FRANK 6. WISHER

FRANK G. WISNER
Deputy Director (Plans)

Attachment

Particles.

Inchecking "ald material" unable to lacate the ariginal of this document. assume it was passed back by hand. We weard whether approval all gangescale made.

IG -1,957

DD/P Organization

- 1. We agree that a reorganisation of the senior staffs of the CS and the staffs in the divisions would save man power. However, this saving would be meaningless unless it resulted in the separation from the Agency of a related number of substandard employees of the CS.
- 2. We, therefore, propose that the present strength of the CS be reduced by approximately five per cent and that during this process we continue our effort to determine the most efficient organization of our senior staffs and the staffs in the area divisions. If the Director approves this reduction in principle we shall proceed along the following lines.
 - a. Appoint a committee of senior officers to supervise the entire process.
 - b. Prescribe the reduction to be made in each component of the CS as organized at the present time.
 - c. Designate a committee in each component of the CS to review the records of all personnel assigned thereto, both at home and abroad, and recommend the separation of substandard employees as a first step to accomplish the required reduction. Each component will

25X1 follow the procedure prescribed in ______(as being revised) to identify substandard employees and

- d. Concurrently proceed with the study of the organization of the senior staffs to include the assignment of personnel.
- e. After the separation of substandard employees has been accomplished, effect any changes in the organization of the senior staffs and the divisional staffs that our study indicates is desirable.
- 3. We are not prepared at this time to state precisely what organization of the senior staffs would best serve the needs of the Co. The subject is a complex one and we are giving it careful study. The organization proposed by the IG in his memorandum of 9 August 1955 has some features with which we agree and some which we can not accept. Our comment on the organization recommended by the IG was contained in my memorandum of 5 April 1956 to the Director. Our views expressed then are substantially the same today. The organization that we shall adopt will be as simple as possible. It will take a further step in the merger of

Approved For Release 2003/09/02 : CIA-RDP80B01676R003200160005

9-1806

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT:

Inspector General's Suggestion for

Improvement of the Central Intelligence

Agency

REFERENCE:

My memorandum of 30 August 1957,

same subject

Attached is our comment on the Inspector General's recommendation on DD/P organization. I request that the Director approve our proposal.

(Signod) FRANK R. WISHER

FRANK G. WISNER Deputy Director (Plans)

Attachment

Julieled.

Distribution:

Orig. & 1: DDCI

2: DD/P ~

1: C/FI

1: C/I&R

25X1

DD/P-I&R

9 September 1957

SECRET

DD/P Organization

- 1. We agree that a reorganization of the senior staffs of the CS and the staffs in the divisions would save man power. However, this saving would be meaningless unless it resulted in the separation from the Agency of a related number of substandard employees of the CS.
- 2. We, therefore, propose that the present strength of the CS be reduced by approximately five per cent and that during this process we continue our effort to determine the most efficient organization of our senior staffs and the staffs in the area divisions. If the Director approves this reduction in principle we shall proceed along the following lines.
 - a. Appoint a committee of senior officers to supervise the entire process.
 - b. Prescribe the reduction to be made in each component of the CS as organized at the present time.
 - c. Designate a committee in each component of the CS to review the records of all personnel assigned thereto, both at home and abroad, and recommend the separation of substandard employees as a first step to accomplish the required reduction. Each component will

- d. Concurrently proceed with the study of the organization of the senior staffs to include the assignment of personnel.
- e. After the separation of substandard employees has been accomplished, effect any changes in the organization of the senior staffs and the divisional staffs that our study indicates is desirable.
- 3. We are not prepared at this time to state precisely what organization of the senior staffs would best serve the needs of the CS. The subject is a complex one and we are giving it careful study. The organization proposed by the IG in his memorandum of 9 August 1955 has some features with which we agree and some which we can not accept. Our comment on the organization recommended by the IG was contained in my memorandum of 5 April 1956 to the Director. Our views expressed then are substantially the same today. The organization that we shall adopt will be as simple as possible. It will take a further step in the merger of

ROU	JTING	AND	RECO	RD SHEET 12-3903
BJECT: (Optional)	····			
OM:	·			NO.
Deputy Director (Pla				
	·			DATE
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DA	ATE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom
	REC'D	FWD'D	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.
c/FI				
2.				
3.				
•				
•				
0.				
1.				
2.				
3.				-
4.				
5.				
,				

SECRET

9-7806

OCT 1 5 1957

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

UBJECT:

Inspector General's Suggestion for

Improvement of the Central Intelligence

Agency

REFERENCE:

My memorandum of 30 August 1957,

same subject

Attached is our comment on the Inspector General's recommendation on DD/P organization. I request that the Director approve our proposal.

(Signed) FRANK 6. WISHER

FRANK G. WISNER
Deputy Director (Plans)

Attachment

Britagle of

Distribution:

Orig. & 1: DDCI

2: DD/P

1: C/FI -

1: C/I&R

25X1 DD/P-I&R/

9 September 1957

Approved For Release 2003/09/02: ELL-RDP80B01676R003200160005-7

(براز :

DD/P Organization

- We agree that a reorganization of the senior staffs of the Countries and the staffs in the divisions would save man power. However, this saving would be meaningless unless it resulted in the separation from the Agency of a related number of substandard employees of the Co.
- 2. We, therefore, propose that the present strength of the C be reduced by approximately five per cent and that during this process we continue our effort to determine the most efficient organization of our senior staffs and the staffs in the area divisions. If the Director approves this reduction in principle we shall proceed along the following lines.
 - a. Appoint a committee of senior officers to supervise the entire process.
 - b. Prescribe the reduction to be made in each component of the CS as organized at the present time.
 - c. Designate a committee in each component of the CS to review the records of all personnel assigned thereto, both at home and abroad, and recommend the separation of substandard employees as a first step to accomplish the required reduction. Each component will

25X1 follow the procedure prescribed in being revised) to identify substandard employees and

- d. Concurrently proceed with the study of the organization of the senior staffs to include the assignment of personnel.
- e. After the separation of substandard employees has been accomplished, effect any changes in the organization of the senior staffs and the divisional staffs that our study indicates is desirable.
- 3. We are not prepared at this time to state precisely what organization of the senior staffs would best serve the needs of the Co. The subject is a complex one and we are giving it careful study. The organization proposed by the IG in his memorandum of 9 August 1955 has some features with which we agree and some which we can not accept. Our comment on the organization recommended by the IG was contained in my memorandum of 5 April 1956 to the Director. Our views expressed then are substantially the same today. The organization that we shall adopt will be as simple as possible. It will take a further step in the merger of

Approved For Release 2003/09/02 ; CIA-RDP80B01676R003200160005

9-1806

OCT (1. 3 1957

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

UBJECT:

Inspector General's Suggestion for

Improvement of the Central Intelligence

Agency

REFERENCE:

My memorandum of 30 August 1957.

same subject

Attached is our comment on the Inspector General's recommendation on DD/P organization. I request that the Director approve our proposal.

(Signed) FRANK R. MISSER

FRANK G. WINNER Deputy Director (Plans)

Attachment

Distribution:

Orig. & 1: DDCI

2: DD/P

1: C/FI

1: C/I&R -

DD/P-I&R/

9 September 1957

DD/P Organization

- 1. We agree that a reorganization of the senior staffs of the CS and the staffs in the divisions would save man power. However, this saving would be meaningless unless it resulted in the separation from the Agency of a related number of substandard employees of the Co.
- 2. We, therefore, propose that the present strength of the Cobe reduced by approximately five per cent and that during this process we continue our effort to determine the most efficient organization of our senior staffs and the staffs in the area divisions. If the Director approves this reduction in principle we shall proceed along the following lines.
 - a. Appoint a committee of senior efficers to supervise the entire process.
 - b. Prescribe the reduction to be made in each component of the Chas organized at the present time.
 - c. Designate a committee in each component of the CS to review the records of all personnel assigned thereto, both at home and abroad, and recommend the separation of substandard employees as a first step to accomplish the required reduction. Each component will

25X1follow the procedure prescribed in _____as being revised) to identify substandard employees and

- d. Concurrently proceed with the study of the organization of the senior staffs to include the assignment of personnel.
- e. After the separation of substandard employees has been accomplished, effect any changes in the organization of the senior staffs and the divisional staffs that our study indicates is desirable.
- zation of the senior staffs would best serve the needs of the Co. The subject is a complex one and we are giving it careful study. The organization proposed by the IG in his memorandum of 9 August 1955 has some features with which we agree and some which we can not accept. Our comment on the organization recommended by the IG was contained in my memorandum of 5 April 1956 to the Director. Our views expressed then are substantially the same today. The organization that we shall adopt will be as simple as possible. It will take a further step in the merger of

SUBJECT: (Optional) FROM:				RD SHEET /R-3GA2		
			······································	RD SHEET /R-3903		
	····			NO.		
Deputy Director (Plans)				DATE		
D: (Officer designation, room number, and DATE		ATE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number		
1.	REC'D	FWD'D	INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)		
r. C/I&R						
2.				, ·		
3.						
4.						
5.						
6.						
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
		İ				
14.						
15.						

TINCT ASSISTED	ECK CLASSIFICATION				
UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL X SECRET					
	RAL INTELLIGENCE A				
	CIAL ROUTING	SLIP			
NAME AN	D ADDRESS	INITIALS	DATE		
25 <u>X</u> 1	nd : 0 59	, en			
2	111 () ()	wg			
	ve In I	B			
1	A Victoria				
· Jan	On the				
, 1					
ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE	REPLY		
APPROVAL	DISPATCH		RECOMMENDATION		
COMMENT	FILE	RETURN			
25X1	INFORMATION	SIGNATU	RE		
emarks:					
25X1 We have ntire agency for nd, if mecalls that Mr.[eplies from the ffice to send alsen the addresse hecking to see w	memory serves here was most Deputies and recal such replies of to Gen. Cabell	f this do r correct interest quested to lirectly L. Would	cument - ly, she ed in the he DDCI to him vou mind		
25X1 We have ntire agency for nd, if mecalls that Mr. [eplies from the ffice to send alsen the addresse hecking to see wriginal?	the original or memory serves her was most Deputies and red l such replies of to Gen. Cabell	f this do r correct interest quested to directly Would bu have sy	cument - ly, she ed in the he DDCI to him vou mind		
25X1 We have ntire agency for nd, if necalls that Mr.[eplies from the ffice to send alsen the addresse hecking to see wriginal?	the original or memory serves her was most Deputies and real such replies of to Gen. Cabell thether or not you	f this do r correct interest quested to directly Would bu have so	cument - ly, she ed in the he DDCI to him vou mind		

1 APR 55 237 which may be used.

Approved For Release 2003/09/02 : CIA-RDP80B01676R003209160005-7					
, фр. отош . о	TRANSMITTAL SLIP DATE 7 Jan. 1959				
0EV4	TO:				
25X1	ROOM NO. BUILDING				
	202 Admin				
	REMARKS:				
	D. I				
	Returned per our telephone conversation. Neither the I&R				
	Staff nor the O/DDP has ever				
ą.	received the original of the				
	attached document.				
*					
25X1	·				
	,				
	FROM: I&R Staff				
25X1	ROOM NO. BUILDING EXTENSION				
	FORM NO. 241 REPLACES FORM 36-8 WHICH MAY BE USED. (47)				
-					
۲,					
	TRANSMITTAL SLIP 1/2/59				
-	TO:				
-	ROOM NO. BUILDING				
Ĺ	,				
	REMARKS:				
	I have searched for the original of				
	this document in O-DCI and am unable				
	to locate it. I assume it has been returned your office.				
	I am returning the carbons with the request that you mark them with whatever				

I am returning the carbons with the request that you mark them with whatever action was taken by DCI, if any, and then make what distribution you think might be necessary. I believe the IG would like a copy of this merely as a matter of continuity.

FROM:

25X1

ROOM NO. BUILDING EXTENSION
Admin

Approved For Religiese 2003/09/02 N CIA-RDP80B01676R003200160005-7

25X1

25X1

ER:

Please put in file - I'll NEVER be able to find out whether this was signed or not, or where the original is - so just stick it away in file and we'll forget about it until some fine day when someone else might have a question on it.

> 25XI 1/18/61

25X1

Talked with Mr. on this 12/1/59. He thinks has the orig of this in his safe-safe. States this is acedemic now -- many of the recommendations have been or are being implemented in one form or another.

Hold for awhile yet.

mfb 12/1/59

To & Pile on 18 Jan 1961

pince unable determine
where arig. is18 Jan 61

25X1

25X1